

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,609	06/17/2005	Shoko Araki	273943US90PCT	6372
22850 7590 01/10/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ST	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		VO, HIEN XUAN	
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/539,609	ARAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hien X. Vo	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Jules 1.00 period of 1.00 period	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE grate of this communication, even if timely filed	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
<i>;</i> —						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-8,10-12 and 14-25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 26-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9,13 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/17/05,04/09/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

10/539,609 Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 06/17/05 and 04/09/07. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not a single paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

4. Claims 9, 13, 31 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only,

10/539,609 Art Unit: 2863

and/or, cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 9, 13, 31 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 20-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. The term "it" in claim 20 (line 4), 21 (line 6), 22 (line 6), 23 (line 7), 24 (line 7), 25 (line 7) is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "it" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 26-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

10/539,609

Art Unit: 2863

The claims are drawn to a computer program per se. A computer program per se is abstract instructions. Therefore, a computer program is not a physical thing (product) nor a process as they are not "acts" being performed. As such, the claims are not directed to one of the statutory categories of invention (See MPEP 2106.01), but are directed to nonstatutory functional descriptive material.

It is noted that computer programs embodied on a computer readable medium or other structure, which would permit the functionality of the program to be realized, would be directed to a product and be within a statutory category of invention, so long as the computer readable medium is not disclosed as non-statutory subject matter per se (signals or carrier waves).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-8, 10-12, 14-25 allowed.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- 11. For claims 1, 10, 21, 22, the primary reason for the allowance of claims are a procedure that uses said frequency-domain signal values to calculate at each frequency the relative values of the observed values between said sensors (including mapping these relative values), a procedure that clusters said relative values into N clusters, a procedure that calculates a representative value for each of said clusters, a procedure that uses said representative values to generate a mask for the purpose of extracting, from said frequency-domain signal values, mixed signal values comprising the signals

10/539,609 Art Unit: 2863

emitted from V (2 ≤V≤M) signal sources, a procedure that uses said mask to extract said mixed signal values from said frequency-domain signal values, and a procedure that separates and extracts the values of V signals from said mixed signal values.

12. For claims 11, 12, 15, 23-25, primary reason for the allowance of claims are a procedure that transforms the observed signal values $x_1(t),...,X_M(t)$ observed by said sensors into frequency-domain signal values $X_1(f,m),...,X_M(f,m)$, a procedure that clusters first vectors $X(f,m)=[X_1(f,m),...,X_M(f,m)]$ comprising said frequency-domain signal values $X_1(f,m),...,X_M(f,m)$ into N clusters $C_i(f)$ (i=I,...,N) at each frequency f, a procedure that calculates second vectors $a_i(f)$ to represent each said cluster $C_i(f)$, a procedure that extracts V ($1 \le V \le M$) third vectors $a_P(f)$ (p=1,...,V) from the second vector $a_i(f)$, a procedure that generates a mask M(f,m) represented by the formula 55, where G_k is the set of said third vectors $a_P(f)$, $G_k^{\ c}$ is the complementary set of G_k , and $D(\alpha,\beta)$ is the Mahanalobis square distance between the vectors α and β , and a procedure that extracts the signal values emitted from V of said signal sources by calculating the product of said mask M(f,m) and said first vectors X(f,m).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien X. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hien Vo 01/03/08

John Barlow/ Supervisory Patent Exer

Technology Center 2800